IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

1	IN THE UNITED STA
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DI
3	
4	PAUL CICALA, et al.,
5	Plaintiffs,
6	v.
7	CITY OF SAN JOSE, et al.,
8	Defendants.
9	
10	FRANCISCO VALDEZ, et al.,
11	Plaintiffs,
12	v.
13	CITY OF SAN JOSE, et al.,
14	Defendants.
15	
16	RONALD HEIMAN,
17	Plaintiff,
18	v.
19	OFFICE JOHNSON, BADGE NO. 3827;
20	et al.,

No. C 08-04032 CW C 09-00176 RMW C 09-02617 EJD

ORDER REGARDING
MOTION FOR CLASS
CERTIFICATION AND
CASE MANAGEMENT
STATUS REPORT

The present cases have been ordered related with respect to their claims for injunctive relief under the theory of municipal liability established in Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658 (1989). C 08-04032 CW, Docket No. 38; C 09-02617 EJD, Docket No. 34; C 09-00176 RMW, Docket No. 21. On

2728

21

22

23

24

25

26

August 24, 2011, the Court approved the parties' stipulation to vacate the case management conference that was set for August 26, 2011 and extended indefinitely the briefing schedule for Plaintiffs' motion for class certification in the Valdez case. One reason the Court extended the deadline for the motion for class certification was to permit the Cicala and Heiman Plaintiffs to settle their Monell claims. Previously, on August 17, 2011, Court confirmed that the Cicala and Heiman Plaintiffs were permitted to settle those claims, as long as the parties to those actions, including the municipal defendants, stipulate that any settlement will not have any effect on the claims or defenses in the Valdez case.

Thus far, Plaintiffs in the <u>Cicala</u> and <u>Heiman</u> actions have not reported whether they have settled their <u>Monell</u> claims.

However, given the age of the present cases, the Court will not delay the class certification motion indefinitely. Therefore, the parties in all three actions shall submit a joint status report within fourteen days of this order. The report shall address (1) what efforts have been made to settle the actions, (2) a proposed briefing schedule for a motion for class certification in the <u>Valdez</u> action, and (3) any other matters that may delay briefing on the motion for class certification.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 10/7/2011

United States District Judge